_	•	
2		q
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE CALLEODNIA BOARD O	
9	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF CAL	LIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2005-36
12	MICHAEL ALAN FELDMAN	
13	24758 Via Del Llano Calabasas, CA 91302	DEFAULT DECISION
14	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 34597	AND ORDER
15		[Gov. Code, §11520]
16	Respondent.	
17	FINDINGS OF FACT	
18	1. On or about September 29, 2005, Complainant Carol Sigmann, in	
19	her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Accountancy, filed	
20	Accusation No. AC-2005-36 against Michael Alan Feldman (Respondent) before the	
21	Board of Accountancy (Board).	
22	2. On or about May 7, 1982,	the Board issued Certified Public
23	Accountant Certificate No. CPA 34597 to Respo	ondent. The Certified Public Accountant
24	Certificate expired on April 1, 2002, and has not been renewed.	
25	3. On or about October 5, 2005, Rebeca Garcia, an employee of the	
26	Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Accusation	
27	No. AC-2005-36, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery,	
28	and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address	

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 ///

of record with the Board, which was and is 24758 Via Del Llano, Calabasas, CA 91302. A copy of the Accusation is as exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. On or about October 7, 2005, the aforementioned documents were received at the address of record. A copy of the Domestic Return Receipt is incorporated herein by reference.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. AC-2005-36.
- 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in exhibit A finds that the allegations in Accusation No. AC-2005-36 are true.

1	10. The total costs for investigation and enforcement are \$4,494.99 as	
2	of January 9, 2006.	
3	<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>	
4	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Michael Alan	
5	Feldman has subjected his Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 34597 to	
6	discipline.	
7	2. A copy of the Accusation is attached.	
8	3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
9	4. The Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Certified Public	
10	Accountant Certificate based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation:	
11	a. Business and Professions Code sections 5100, subdivision (a), and	
12	490 - conviction of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties	
13	of a Certified Public Accountant.	
14	b. Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (k) -	
15	diversion of assets or property constituting fraud.	
16	c. Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (g) -	
17	diversion of assets to personal account, constituting self-dealing and lack of integrity.	
18	d. Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (c) -	
19	gross negligence in the practice of accountancy.	
20	e. Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (i) -	
21	failure to file tax Form 1099.	
22	f. Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (j) -	
23	preparation and dissemination of false, fraudulent and misleading tax returns.	
24	<u>ORDER</u>	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant Certificate No.	
26	CPA 34597, heretofore issued to Respondent Michael Alan Feldman, is revoked.	
27	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent	
28	may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the	

grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. April 21, 2006 This Decision shall become effective on March 22, 2006 It is so ORDERED Dept. of Consumer Affairs, State of California 60122406.wpd DOJ docket number:LA2005500967 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation No.AC-2005-36 

Exhibit A
Accusation No. AC-2005-36

;		
5	Telephone: (213) 897-9954 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7		
8	II DEFUKE THE	
9	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. AC-2005-36	
12	Michael Alan Feldman 24758 Via Del Llano	
13	Calabasas, CA 91302  ACCUSATION	
14	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 34597	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of	
21	Consumer Affairs (Board).	
22	2. On or about May 7, 1982, the Board issued Certified Public Accountant	
23	Certificate No. 76419 to Michael Alan Feldman (Respondent). The Certified Public Accountant	
24	Certificate expired on April 1, 2002, and is currently in a delinquent status.	
25	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the	
27	following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise	
28	indicated.	

4. Section 5100 states, in pertinent part:

"After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

- "(a) Conviction of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant or a public accountant.
- "(c) Dishonesty, fraud, gross negligence, or repeated negligent acts committed in the same or different engagements, for the same or different clients, or any combination of engagements or clients, each resulting in a violation of applicable professional standards that indicate a lack of competency in the practice of public accountancy or in the performance of the bookkeeping operations described in Section 5052.
- "(g) Willful violation of this chapter or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board under the authority granted under this chapter.
  - "(i) Fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind.
- "(j) Knowing preparation, publication, or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or materially misleading financial statements, reports, or information.
- "(k) Embezzlement, theft, misappropriation of funds or property, or obtaining money, property, or other valuable consideration by fraudulent means or false pretenses."
  - 5. Section 5106 states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof. The board may order the certificate or permit suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a certificate or permit, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or

the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made, suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment."

#### 6. Section 490 states:

"A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

7. Board Rule 65 (Cal. Code Regs., tit.16, § 65) of Rules of Professional Conduct states that "A licensee shall be independent in the performance of services in accordance with professional standards." The AICPA *Code of Professional Conduct* includes Section I-Principles and Section II-Rules. Both the Principles (Articles III and VI) and the Rules (Rule 102, Rule 501) are relevant to the allegations herein. Rule 102 (Integrity and Objectivity), provides that "In the performance of any professional service, a member shall maintain objectivity and integrity, shall be free of conflicts of interest, and shall not knowingly misrepresent facts or subordinate his or her judgment to others." Rule 501 (Acts discreditable), provides that "A member shall not commit an act discreditable to the profession."

#### 8. Section 5070.7 states:

"(a) A permit that is not renewed within five years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated thereafter, and the certificate of the holder of the permit ///

shall be canceled immediately upon expiration of the five-year period, except as provided in subdivision (e).

- "(b) A partnership or corporation whose certificate has been canceled by operation of this section may obtain a new certificate and permit only if it again meets the requirements set forth in this chapter relating to registration and pays the registration fee and initial permit fee.
- "(c) A certified public accountant whose certificate is canceled by operation of this section may apply for and obtain a new certificate and permit if the applicant:
  - "(1) Is not subject to denial of a certificate and permit under Section 480.
- "(2) Pays all of the fees that would be required of him or her if he or she were then applying for the certificate and permit for the first time.
- "(3) Takes and passes the examination which would be required of him or her if he or she were then applying for the certificate for the first time. The examination may be waived in any case in which the applicant establishes to the satisfaction of the board that, with due regard for the public interest, he or she is qualified to engage in practice as a certified public accountant.
- "(d) The board may, by appropriate regulation, provide for the waiver or refund of all or any part of the application fee in those cases in which a certificate is issued without an examination under this section.
- "(e) Revoked permits may not be renewed, but may be reinstated by the board, without regard to the length of time that has elapsed since the permit was revoked, and with conditions and restrictions as the board shall determine."
- 9. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension / expiration / surrender / cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 10. Section 5109 provides that the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a license, practice, privilege, or other authority to practice public accountancy by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender

of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against the licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

## 11. Section 5107, subdivision (a), states:

"The executive officer of the board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found to have committed a violation or violations of this chapter to pay to the board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees. The board shall not recover costs incurred at the administrative hearing."

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Substantially Related Crime)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100(a), and 490, in that Respondent has been convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a Certified Public Accountant, as set forth below:

## a. GRAND THEFT BY EMBEZZLEMENT - FELONY

On or about May 27, 2004, in a criminal action entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Alan Feldman*, Ventura County Superior Court, Case No. 2003035915FA, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Penal Code Section 487(a) (grand theft by embezzlement), a felony, with excessive losses over \$100,000 [Pen. Code § 1203.045(a)] and excessive property damage over \$150,000 [Pen. Code § 12022.6(a)(2)]. Respondent was sentenced to one (1) year in jail, ordered to pay \$42,5978.19 to the victim, Lindsey Studios, and placed on five (5) years probation.

The circumstances of the conviction are that between January 1, 1999 and August 1, 2001, while Respondent was a president, manager, and accountant for Lindsey Studios, Inc., he transferred, from Lindsey Studios, Inc.'s business checking account to his personal checking account, approximately \$110,000 in 1999; \$160,000 in 2000; and \$112,000 in 2001. On or about July 18, 2001, the principals of Lindsey Studios, Inc. confronted Respondent regarding their found discrepancies in Respondent's prepared single page "Statement of Operations for 1-1-01 to

5-31-01" wherein the end of period cash in the business' checking account was represented to be \$100,445, when in fact, Lindsey Studios, Inc.'s City National Bank account May 2001 bank statement represented a balance of \$5,234.98, on or about May 31, 2001. The total amount embezzled was \$381,670.50. The Respondent sporadically repaid \$248,310.000 to Lindsey Studios, Inc. on or before July 18, 2001. On or about July 18, 2001, Respondent was terminated from his management position at Lindsey Studios, Inc. and it was determined that at that time, he had stolen and not repaid approximately \$133,360.50, which did not include his legitimate monthly salary of \$6,000.00. Respondent failed to file tax returns for Lindsey Studios, Inc. for 1999 and 2000, and failed to issue a Form 1099 to himself from Lindsey Studios, Inc.

#### b. FILING FALSE TAX RETURN - FELONY

On or about October 28, 2004, in a criminal action entitled *The People of the State of California v. Michael Alan Feldman*, Ventura County Superior Court, Case No. 2003035915FA, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Revenue and Taxation Code Section 19705(a) (filing false tax return), a felony.

The circumstances of the conviction are that for the money stolen during 2000 and 2001 from Lindsey Studios, Inc., Respondent failed to issue himself Internal Revenue Service Forms 1099 for miscellaneous income received, and Respondent willfully and unlawfully made and executed under penalty of perjury a State of California, Franchise Tax Board's Resident Income Tax return (Form 540) and attachments for tax year 2000, when he failed to report as income the funds received from Lindsey Studios, Inc.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misappropriation of Funds)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100(k), in that the diversion of Lindsey Studios, Inc.'s assets to the personal/business account of Respondent, as set forth in para. 12a, constitutes embezzlement, and/or, misappropriation of funds or property.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100(c), in that

i		
1	the diversion of Lindsey Studios, Inc.'s assets to the personal/business account of Respondent, a	
2	set forth in the preceding paragraph 12a, constitutes dishonesty and/or fraud in the practice of	
3	public accountancy.	
4	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
5	(Self-Dealing and Lack of Integrity)	
6	15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100(g) for	
7	violating Board Rule 65, in conjunction with AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, in that the	
8	diversion of Lindsey Studios, Inc.'s assets to the personal/business account of Respondent, as set	
9	forth in the preceding paragraph 12a, constitutes self-dealing and lack of integrity.	
10	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
11	(Gross Negligence)	
12	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100(c) for	
13	violating professional standards and/or legal requirements, in that the diversion of Lindsey	
14	Studios, Inc.'s assets to the personal/business account of Respondent, as set forth in the	
15	preceding paragraph 12a, constitutes gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy	
16	because, while acting as president, manager, and accountant, Respondent placed his own	
17	financial interest above those of Lindsey Studios, Inc.'s and its principals, and ignored	
18	professional requirements of integrity and lack of self-dealing.	
19	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
20	(Breach of Fiduciary Duty)	
21	17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100(i), in that	
22	each unauthorized diversion of Lindsey Studios, Inc.'s assets to the personal/business account of	
23	Respondent and failure to file Form 1099s to himself from Lindsey Studios, Inc, as set forth in	
24	the preceding paragraph 12a, constitutes a separate breach of Respondent's fiduciary	
25	responsibility.	
26	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
27	(False Records)	
28	18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100(j), in that	

on or about July 18, 2001, Respondent's preparation of a false financial statement or accounting, 1 by overstating income and excluding material unauthorized disbursements, as set forth in the 2 preceding paragraph 12a, constitutes the knowing preparation, publication or dissemination of 3 false, fraudulent, or materially misleading financial statements, reports, or information. EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 5 (False Tax Return) 6 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5100(j), in that 19. 7 Respondent's preparation and dissemination of false, fraudulent and misleading tax returns, to 8 wit year 2000 Form 540, as set forth in the preceding paragraph 12b, by understating miscellaneous income, concealing the material unauthorized disbursements, constitutes the 10 11 knowing preparation, publication or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or materially misleading 12 financial statements, reports, or information. 13 **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein 14 15 alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision: Revoking, suspending, or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified 16 1. 17 Public Accountant Certificate No. 76419, issued to Michael Alan Feldman; 2. Ordering Michael Alan Feldman to pay the California Board of 18 Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to 19 20 Business and Professions Code Section 5107; 21 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. Sylamber 29,2005 22 23 24 California Board of Accountancy 25 Department of Consumer Affairs 26 State of California 27 Complainant

DN: LA2005500967

60101742.wpd/ 6/15/2005dmc

28